UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,413	01/15/2004	Yoshiyuki Akiyama	03327.2318	3372
22852 7590 06/18/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP	,	,	03327.2318 3372	JILL LYNNE
	K AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,413	AKIYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jill L. Heitbrink	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become p	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed or     Za)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)         Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	This action is non-final.	•	s
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  Application Papers	ithdrawn from consideration.		
· · · <u>_</u>	ramina.		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Ex</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by </li> </ul>	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 7-205244) in view of Sekido (Japanese Publication 7-290548).
- 3. Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 7-205244) discloses an injection molding device for ejecting a molding material, measuring the injecting pressure, forming an upper and lower limit waveform based on a reference waveform. The processor decides whether the measured result waveform is between the upper and lower limit waveforms or not, determines whether the product is defective, and outputs a malfunction signal. JP'244 does not specify that the injection pressure is from a hydraulic cylinder. The use of hydraulic cylinders to inject material into a mold cavity and the measuring of the pressure of the hydraulic cylinder is conventional in the art of injection molding and would have been used in JP'244, see applicant's disclosure page 1 and 2. Applicant's disclosure states "on the related waveform monitoring apparatus described in JP-a-7-205244, a reference waveform is not shown when non-conformity is determined so that a nonconforming section and the degree of nonconformity as well as the difference and variation from a conformity case are not clear." Sekido JP"548 clearly shows the displaying of the measure injection pressure waveform compared to the upper and

lower limit values. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to show the measure pressure waveform in the display of JP'244 since the pressure is measured and the values are compared in JP'244.

- 4. Additionally, as to the marking on the display, the examiner believes that the marking relates to the content of information being displayed rather than any functionality or methodology involved in causing the display itself, features best described as "non-functional descriptive material". Such descriptive material should not be given patentable weight absent a new and unobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate (i.e. display). See In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Ngai, 367 F.3d 1336, 1338, 70 USPQ 1862, 1864 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Therefore, the meaning attributed to the information displayed cannot be used to distinguish the claimed information displayed from prior art displays.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morikawa (Japanese Publication 62-187009).
- 6. Morikawa JP'009 discloses a hydraulic cylinder and a sensor for measuring the pressure for ejecting a molding material. The measured value is compared with upper and lower limits and if the value is outside the range an alarm is issued, see Figures 1-3 and abstract.
- 7. Additionally, as to the marking on the display, the examiner believes that the marking relates to the content of information being displayed rather than any functionality or methodology involved in causing the display itself, features best

described as "non-functional descriptive material". Such descriptive material should not be given patentable weight absent a new and unobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate (i.e. display). See In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Ngai, 367 F.3d 1336, 1338, 70 USPQ 1862, 1864 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Therefore, the meaning attributed to the information displayed cannot be used to distinguish the claimed information displayed from prior art displays.

- 8. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either [Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 7-205244) in view of Sekido (Japanese Publication 7-290548)] or Morikawa (Japanese Publication 62-187009) as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 above, and further in view of Neko et al. (European Patent Application 418398).
- 9. Neko (col. 7, lines 21-31) discloses the determinant stopping (terminating) an injecting operation of the injection molding device when the measured value waveform in which the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range is continuously detected more than a predetermined times. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to stop the operation of the injection molding device in either JP'244 or JP'009 in view of the teaching of Neko since the repeated exceeding measurement would indicate that the process needs correction.
- 10. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neko et al. (European Patent Application 418398).

Application/Control Number:

10/757,413

Art Unit: 1791

11. Neko discloses a waveform monitoring apparatus including a hydraulic cylinder (col. 12, line 14), incorporated in an injection molding device for ejecting a molding material into a mold. A sensor (col. 12, lines 16-19) generates pressure data of the hydraulic cylinder. A determinant (col., 10, line 51- col. 11, line 10 and col. 13, lines 40-44), forms a measured value waveform based on the pressure data, and determines whether the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range. A marking applier (col. 11, lines 11-39) applies a marking (value ER) to an excess portion of the measured value waveform determined by the determinant. A sorter (col. 11, line 55-col. 12, line 2) sorts a product formed from the molding material, wherein the determinant outputs a determination signal indicating whether the pressure data exceeds the reference pressure waveform by the predetermined range to the sorter. Neko (col. 7, lines 21-31) discloses the determinant stopping (terminating) an injecting operation of the injection molding device when the measured value waveform in which the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range is continuously detected more than a predetermined times. In Neko (col. 5, lines 24-44), the determinant sets an upper limit range and a lower limit range with respect to the reference pressure waveform as the predetermined range. A storage (RAM 108) stores the measured value waveform to which the marking is applied.

Page 5

12. Additionally, as to the marking on the display, the examiner believes that the marking relates to the content of information being displayed rather than any functionality or methodology involved in causing the display itself, features best described as "non-functional descriptive material". Such descriptive material should not

be given patentable weight absent a new and unobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate (i.e. display). See In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Ngai, 367 F.3d 1336, 1338, 70 USPQ 1862, 1864 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Therefore, the meaning attributed to the information displayed cannot be used to distinguish the claimed information displayed from prior art displays.

- 13. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 2001-287254 taken together with Neko et al. (European Patent Application 418398).
- 14. Moriwaki JP'254 discloses a method and apparatus for monitoring a waveform, including generating pressure data of an injection molding device for ejecting a molding material into a mold and forming a measured value waveform (Fig. 4) based on the pressure data. Moriwaki JP'254 determines that the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range (abstract "control unit discriminates whether there is an abnormal value with respect to various waveform data". Then, a marking (outlying observation) is applied to an excess portion of the measured value waveform and displayed on the display including variances (paragraphs [0008]-[0013]. Neko (col. 12, lines 11-15) teaches that the pressure data of an injection molding device can be from either an electrically operated injector or a hydraulically operated injector. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the data collection, storing and monitoring of Moriwaki JP'254 in a hydraulically operated injection molding apparatus since the controlling and monitoring or abnormalities of the

injection pressure is similarly necessary in screw controls for electro-mechanical injection units and hydraulic injection units.

- 15. The step of outputting a determination signal to a sorter which sorts a product formed from the molding material, wherein the determination signal indicates that whether the pressure data exceeds the reference pressure waveform by the predetermined range is taught by Neko (col. 11, line 55-col. 12, line 2). It would have been obvious to sort a product in Moriwaki JP'254 indicated by the abnormality in the waveform since the product has been determined to be abnormal in Moriwaki and thus would not have the same quality as the products produced without abnormal signals. Neko (col. 7, lines 21-31) discloses the determinant stopping (terminating) an injecting operation of the injection molding device when the measured value waveform in which the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range is continuously detected more than a predetermined times. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to stop the injecting operation when the measured pressure data waveform exceeds a reference pressure waveform maximum and minimum detected more than a predetermined number of times in Moriwaki JP'254 since this is a clear indication that correction of the problem is not occurring during the operation of the injection molding.
- 16. Additionally, as to the marking on the display, the examiner believes that the marking relates to the content of information being displayed rather than any functionality or methodology involved in causing the display itself, features best described as "non-functional descriptive material". Such descriptive material should not

be given patentable weight absent a new and unobvious functional relationship between the descriptive material and the substrate (i.e. display). See In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Ngai, 367 F.3d 1336, 1338, 70 USPQ 1862, 1864 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Therefore, the meaning attributed to the information displayed cannot be used to distinguish the claimed information displayed from prior art displays.

- 17. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 7-205244) in view of Sekido (Japanese Publication 7-290548) taken together with Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) in view of Colorblind Barrier Free.
- 18. Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 7-205244) discloses an injection molding device for ejecting a molding material, measuring the injecting pressure, forming an upper and lower limit waveform based on a reference waveform. The processor decides whether the measured result waveform is between the upper and lower limit waveforms or not, determines whether the product is defective, and outputs a malfunction signal. JP'244 does not specify that the injection pressure is from a hydraulic cylinder. The use of hydraulic cylinders to inject material into a mold cavity and the measuring of the pressure of the hydraulic cylinder is conventional in the art of injection molding and would have been used in JP'244, see applicant's disclosure page 1 and 2. Applicant's disclosure states "on the related waveform monitoring apparatus described in JP-a-7-205244, a reference waveform is not shown when non-conformity is determined so that a nonconforming section and the degree of nonconformity as well as the difference and

10/757,413

Art Unit: 1791

variation from a conformity case are not clear." Sekido JP"548 clearly shows the displaying of the measure injection pressure waveform compared to the upper and lower limit values. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to show the measure pressure waveform in the display of JP'244 since the pressure is measured and the values are compared in JP'244.

- 19. Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) discloses the use of an abnormal condition display which displays a red line, a change in color of the trend format or a change in line into a dotted line when the measured value is outside the upper or lower value (col. 4, line 65 thru col. 5, line 43). Colorblind Barrier Free (page 13) teaches using lines of different shapes and thickness so as to accommodate people that are colored blind. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a thicker marking for the portion of the measured value outside of the high and low limits in Inden so that a person who is colored blind can distinguish the change easily.
- 20. In view of the teaching of Inden, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a display indicating the measured pressure value in comparison with the upper and lower limits in JP'244 wherein the measured value outside of the upper and lower limit is marked by a change in the line color or shape. The use of such a display in JP'244 would have within the skill in the art of injection molding since the injection pressure signals are known to be an indication of the product quality.

- 21. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morikawa (Japanese Publication 62-187009) taken together with Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) in view of Colorblind Barrier Free.
- 22. Morikawa JP'009 discloses a hydraulic cylinder and a sensor for measuring the pressure for ejecting a molding material. The measured value is compared with upper and lower limits and if the value is outside the range an alarm is issued, see Figures 1-3 and abstract.
- 23. Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) discloses the use of an abnormal condition display which displays a red line, a change in color of the trend format or a change in line into a dotted line when the measured value is outside the upper or lower value (col. 4, line 65 thru col. 5, line 43). Colorblind Barrier Free (page 13) teaches using lines of different shapes and thickness so as to accommodate people that are colored blind. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a thicker marking for the portion of the measured value outside of the high and low limits in Inden so that a person who is colored blind can distinguish the change easily.
- 24. In view of the teaching of Inden, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a display indicating the measured pressure value in comparison with the upper and lower limits in JP'009 wherein the measured value outside of the upper and lower limit is marked by a change in the line color or shape. The use of such a display in JP'009 would have within the skill in the art of injection molding since the injection pressure signals are known to be an indication of the product quality.

- 25. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either [Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 7-205244) or Morikawa (Japanese Publication 62-187009)] taken together with Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) in view of Colorblind Barrier Free as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 above, and further in view of Neko et al. (European Patent Application 418398).
- 26. Neko (col. 7, lines 21-31) discloses the determinant stopping (terminating) an injecting operation of the injection molding device when the measured value waveform in which the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range is continuously detected more than a predetermined times. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to stop the operation of the injection molding device in either JP'244 or JP'009 in view of the teaching of Neko since the repeated exceeding measurement would indicate that the process needs correction.
- 27. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neko et al. (European Patent Application 418398) taken together with Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) in view of Colorblind Barrier Free.
- 28. Neko discloses a waveform monitoring apparatus including a hydraulic cylinder (col. 12, line 14), incorporated in an injection molding device for ejecting a molding material into a mold. A sensor (col. 12, lines 16-19) generates pressure data of the hydraulic cylinder. A determinant (col.. 10, line 51- col. 11, line 10 and col. 13, lines 40-44), forms a measured value waveform based on the pressure data, and determines whether the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range. A marking applier (col. 11, lines 11-39) applies a marking (value ER) to an

excess portion of the measured value waveform determined by the determinant. A sorter (col. 11, line 55-col. 12, line 2) sorts a product formed from the molding material, wherein the determinant outputs a determination signal indicating whether the pressure data exceeds the reference pressure waveform by the predetermined range to the sorter. Neko (col. 7, lines 21-31) discloses the determinant stopping (terminating) an injecting operation of the injection molding device when the measured value waveform in which the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range is continuously detected more than a predetermined times. In Neko (col. 5, lines 24-44), the determinant sets an upper limit range and a lower limit range with respect to the reference pressure waveform as the predetermined range. A storage (RAM 108) stores the measured value waveform to which the marking is applied.

- 29. Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) discloses the use of an abnormal condition display which displays a red line, a change in color of the trend format or a change in line into a dotted line when the measured value is outside the upper or lower value (col. 4, line 65 thru col. 5, line 43). Colorblind Barrier Free (page 13) teaches using lines of different shapes and thickness so as to accommodate people that are colored blind. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a thicker marking for the portion of the measured value outside of the high and low limits in Inden so that a person who is colored blind can distinguish the change easily.
- 30. In view of the teaching of Inden, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a display indicating the measured pressure value in comparison with the upper and lower limits in Neko wherein the measured value outside

of the upper and lower limit is marked by a change in the line color or shape. The use of such a display in Neko would have within the skill in the art of injection molding since the injection pressure signals are known to be an indication of the product quality.

- 31. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki (Japanese Publication 2001-287254 taken together with Neko et al. (European Patent Application 418398) in view of Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) and Colorblind Barrier Free.
- 32. Moriwaki JP'254 discloses a method and apparatus for monitoring a waveform, including generating pressure data of an injection molding device for ejecting a molding material into a mold and forming a measured value waveform (Fig. 4) based on the pressure data. Moriwaki JP'254 determines that the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range (abstract "control unit discriminates whether there is an abnormal value with respect to various waveform data". Then, a marking (outlying observation) is applied to an excess portion of the measured value waveform and displayed on the display including variances (paragraphs [0008]-[0013]. Neko (col. 12, lines 11-15) teaches that the pressure data of an injection molding device can be from either an electrically operated injector or a hydraulically operated injector. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the data collection, storing and monitoring of Moriwaki JP'254 in a hydraulically operated injection molding apparatus since the controlling and monitoring or abnormalities of the injection pressure is similarly necessary in screw controls for electro-mechanical injection units and hydraulic injection units.

- 33. The step of outputting a determination signal to a sorter which sorts a product formed from the molding material, wherein the determination signal indicates that whether the pressure data exceeds the reference pressure waveform by the predetermined range is taught by Neko (col. 11, line 55-col. 12, line 2). It would have been obvious to sort a product in Moriwaki JP'254 indicated by the abnormality in the waveform since the product has been determined to be abnormal in Moriwaki and thus would not have the same quality as the products produced without abnormal signals. Neko (col. 7, lines 21-31) discloses the determinant stopping (terminating) an injecting operation of the injection molding device when the measured value waveform in which the pressure data exceeds a reference pressure waveform by a predetermined range is continuously detected more than a predetermined times. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to stop the injecting operation when the measured pressure data waveform exceeds a reference pressure waveform maximum and minimum detected more than a predetermined number of times in Moriwaki JP'254 since this is a clear indication that correction of the problem is not occurring during the operation of the injection molding.
- 34. Inden et al. (Pat. No. 4,905,165) discloses the use of an abnormal condition display which displays a red line, a change in color of the trend format or a change in line into a dotted line when the measured value is outside the upper or lower value (col. 4, line 65 thru col. 5, line 43). Colorblind Barrier Free (page 13) teaches using lines of different shapes and thickness so as to accommodate people that are colored blind. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a thicker marking

Art Unit: 1791

for the portion of the measured value outside of the high and low limits in Inden so that a person who is colored blind can distinguish the change easily.

35. In view of the teaching of Inden, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a display indicating the measured pressure value in comparison with the upper and lower limits in Moriwaki JP'254 wherein the measured value outside of the upper and lower limit is marked by a change in the line color or shape. The use of such a display in JP'009 would have within the skill in the art of injection molding since the injection pressure signals are known to be an indication of the product quality.

## Response to Arguments

- 36. Applicant's arguments filed June 4, 2008 and March 14, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 37. The objections and 112 rejections have been corrected.
- 38. Applicant argues that the elements in claim 1 are used to both generate a displayed waveform and provide a "marking" on the displayed waveform and are not merely non-functional descriptive material. The prior art teaches the waveforms and the error indication when the measured pressure waveform is outside the limit values. The marking on the displayed waveform being a thicker line only has meaning to the observation of the display and not to the operation of the injection molding device. Thus, the thicker marking is a "non-functional descriptive material. As to an apparatus that may generate a waveform and provide indications on the display, this apparatus is broadly disclosed as the process section 13 in the specification wherein no new

apparatus is disclosed to perform the functional relationship between the waveform and the "marking".

- 39. Applicant argues that Inden changes the size of dots as a selection and indicates an abnormal condition with a red line to the left side, but does not teach applying a marking to an excess portion with a thicker portion. However, Inden (col. 5, lines 38-43) teaches "a variation in the method, such as changing a color of the line of the trend format or changing the line into a dotted line, may be considered" as an alternative to the red line at the left side.
- 40. Colorblind teaches an advantage to the using of lines of different shapes and thickness so as to accommodate people that are colored blind.
- 41. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jill L. Heitbrink/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791
Jill L. Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1791